Reconnection-mediated turbulence

Silvio S. Cerri



T. Passot, **D. Laveder**, **P.-L. Sulem** (Laboratoire Lagrange) **M. W. Kunz** (Princeton University)

Waves and Complexity Thematic School – 20 May 2022, Porquerolles

Laboratoire Lagrange, CNRS, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, Université Côte d'Azur



<u>Collaborators</u>:

1. Introduction

- Context & Motivation: turbulence in space and astrophysical plasmas
- Magnetic reconnection: basic concepts
- Phenomenology of Alfvénic turbulence: from weak to strong
- 2. Further Developments in Theoretical Models

From dynamic alignment to reconnection-mediated regime

3. **Recent Progress via Numerical Simulations**

2D simulation of freely decaying magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence ³ 3D collisions of Alfvén-wave packets in reduced MHD

Introduction

Context & Motivation







JURBULENCE

#K \$ 1.4

PLASMA TURBULENCE EVERYWHERE

Magnetic Reconnection

Introduction

Magnetic-field reversal

Magnetic-field reversal

Magnetic-field reversal

Magnetic-field reversal

if the magnetic shear is *"strong enough*(*)" ⇒ **RECONNECTION!**

(*) there is a parameter called Δ' ... but this would require an entire lecture! ☞ ask Camille Granier and Emanuele Tassi, they know everything about it!

Magnetic-field reversal

if the magnetic shear is *"strong enough*(*)" \Rightarrow **RECONNECTION!**

(conversion of magnetic energy into plasma kinetic energy)

"PLASMOID" regime with recursive/fractal reconnection

(*) there is a parameter called Δ' ... but this would require an entire lecture! ask Camille Granier and Emanuele Tassi, they know everything about it!

magnetic reconnection requires to break magnetic-flux conservation:

this is done only by **non-ideal MHD effects** (non-negligible at "small" scales!)

 $\mathbf{R} = 0 \text{ in ideal MHD}$

$$\mathbf{R} \equiv \underbrace{\eta \mathbf{J}}_{(\mathrm{I})} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}}{\operatorname{nec}}}_{(\mathrm{II})} - \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\operatorname{ne}}}_{(\mathrm{III})} + \underbrace{\frac{m_{e}}{\operatorname{ne}^{2}} \left[\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \left(\mathbf{J} \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{J} - \frac{\mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}}{\operatorname{ne}} \right) + \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} \right]}_{(\mathrm{IV})}$$

metic shear is "strong enough(*)"

$$(u \times d\ell)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma(t+dt)}{\partial \Sigma(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma(t)}{\partial U(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma(t)}{\partial U(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial U(t+dt)}{\partial U(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial U(t+dt)}{\partial U(t)}$$

$$\frac{\partial U(t+dt)}{\partial U(t)}$$

Introduction

Alfvénic Turbulence

hydrodynamic turbulence ($\mathbf{B} = 0$)

[Goto, PTPS 2012]

Immediate visual difference: anisotropy of structures

[Sisti et al., A&A 2021]

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{+}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{+} = -\nabla$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{-}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{-} = -\nabla$$

The MHD equations in the Elsässer formulation

 $7P_{\rm f}$ $z^{\pm} = u \pm \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi \varrho}}$ $7P_{\rm f}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{+}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{z}^{-} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{+} = -\nabla$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{-}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{z}^{+} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}^{-} = -\nabla$$

The MHD equations in the Elsässer formulation

split background and fluctuations:

 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \delta \mathbf{b}$ $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{M}_0 + \delta \mathbf{u}$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}_{0}}{\sqrt{4\pi\varrho_{0}}}$$
$$\delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \delta \mathbf{u} \pm \frac{\delta \mathbf{b}}{4\pi\varrho_{0}}$$

 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \delta \mathbf{b}$ $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \delta \mathbf{u}$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mp \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right) \delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} + \left(\delta \mathbf{z}^{\mp} \cdot \mathbf{z}^{\pm} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right) \delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} + \left(\delta \mathbf{z}^{\mp} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\right) \delta$$

split background and fluctuations:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}_{0}}{\sqrt{4\pi\varrho_{0}}}$$
$$\delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \delta \mathbf{u} \pm \frac{\delta \mathbf{b}}{4\pi\varrho_{0}}$$

 $\delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \dots (!)$ turbulence needs finite dissipation!

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \delta \mathbf{b}$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{c}_0 + \delta \mathbf{u}$$

split background and fluctuations:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}_{0}}{\sqrt{4\pi\varrho_{0}}}$$
$$\delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \delta \mathbf{u} \pm \frac{\delta \mathbf{b}}{4\pi\varrho_{0}}$$

non-linear frequency: $\omega_{\rm nl} = k_{\perp} \delta z^{\mp}$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \delta \mathbf{b}$$
$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{c}_0 + \delta \mathbf{u}$$

 \Rightarrow non-linearity parameter: $\chi =$

splik background and fluctuations:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{A}} = \frac{\mathbf{B}_{0}}{\sqrt{4\pi\varrho_{0}}}$$
$$\delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} = \delta \mathbf{u} \pm \frac{\delta \mathbf{b}}{4\pi\varrho_{0}}$$

non-linear frequency: $\omega_{\rm nl} = k_{\perp} \delta z^{\mp}$

see, e.g.,

[Ng & Bhattacharjee, PoP 1996] [Galtier, Nazarenko, Newell, Pouquet, JPP 2000] [Schekochihin, arXiv:2010.00699]

(because, yes, it's the last talk on Friday and we all want to go to lunch!)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 &= \mathbf{k}_3 \\ \omega(k_{\parallel,1}) + \omega(k_{\parallel,2}) &= \omega(k_{\parallel,3}) \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\mathsf{no}}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 &= \mathbf{k}_3 \\ \omega(k_{\parallel,1}) + \omega(k_{\parallel,2}) &= \omega(k_{\parallel,3}) \end{split} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{no part} \\ \text{no part} \\ \end{array}$$

How many interactions are needed to produce a significant change in counter-propagating Alfvén-wave packets? (i.e., $\Delta(\delta z)/\delta z \sim 1$)

weak Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation of the spectrum

allel cascade ($k_{//} = cst$.) only a cascade in k_{\perp} !

$$\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2} = \mathbf{k}_{3}$$

$$\omega(k_{\parallel,1}) + \omega(k_{\parallel,2}) = \omega(k_{\parallel,3}) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \text{no parallel cascade (k// = cst.) only a cascade in } \mathbf{k}_{\perp}$$

How many interactions are needed to produce a significant change in counter-propagating Alfvén-wave packets? (i.e., $\Delta(\delta z)/\delta z \sim 1$)

crossing time ~ linear propagation time: $au_{
m A} = (k_{\parallel}v_{
m A})$ distortion time ~ non-linear time: $au_{
m nl} = (k_{\perp}\delta)$

$$\Delta (\delta z)^{-1} \Rightarrow \Delta (\delta z) \sim \left(\frac{\tau_{\rm A}}{\tau_{\rm nl}}\right) \delta z = \chi \ \delta z \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{(change} \delta z \\ \text{one condition} \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{1} + \mathbf{k}_{2} = \mathbf{k}_{3}$$

$$\omega(k_{\parallel,1}) + \omega(k_{\parallel,2}) = \omega(k_{\parallel,3}) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \text{no parallel cascade (k// = cst.) only a cascade in } \mathbf{k}_{\perp}$$

How many interactions are needed to produce a significant change in counter-propagating Alfvén-wave packets? (i.e., $\Delta(\delta z)/\delta z \sim 1$)

crossing time ~ linear propagati

distortion time ~ non-line

 \Rightarrow assume changes accumulates as a random walk:

ion time:
$$\tau_{\rm A} = (k_{\parallel} v_{\rm A})^{-1}$$

ear time: $\tau_{\rm nl} = (k_{\perp} \delta z)^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Delta(\delta z) \sim \left(\frac{\tau_{\rm A}}{\tau_{\rm nl}}\right) \delta z = \chi \, \delta z \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{(change during the during of the constant o$$

realize fluctuations' scaling and energy spectum from constant energy flux through scales:

$$\delta z \propto \epsilon = {
m const.} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta z \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\delta z} \propto k_{\perp}$$

fluctuations' scaling and energy spectum

from constant energy flux through scales:

A very important consequece of these scalings is that an initially weak Alfvénic cascade will not remain weak!

$$\sim \varepsilon = ext{const.}$$
 \Rightarrow $\delta z \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/2}$ \Rightarrow $\mathcal{E}_{\delta z} \propto k_{\perp}$

fluctuations' scaling and energy spectum from constant energy flux through scales:

$$egin{aligned} & \omega_{\mathrm{nl}} = k_{\perp} \delta z \sim k_{\perp}^{1/2} \ & \Rightarrow & \chi \sim k_{\perp}^{1/2} \ & \omega_{\mathrm{A}} = k_{\parallel,0} v_{\mathrm{A}} = \mathrm{const.} \end{aligned}$$

weak Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation of the spectrum

$$\frac{\delta z^2}{\tau_{\rm casc}} \sim \varepsilon = {\rm const.} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta z \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\delta z} \propto k_{\perp}$$

A very important consequece of these scalings is that an initially weak Alfvénic cascade will not remain weak!

- non-linear frequency increases with decreasing scales,
- while linear frequency is constant because there is no parallel cascade:

fluctuations' scaling and energy spectum from constant energy flux through scales:

$$egin{aligned} & \omega_{\mathrm{nl}} = k_{\perp} \delta z \sim k_{\perp}^{1/2} \ & \Rightarrow & \chi \sim k_{\perp}^{1/2} \ & \omega_{\mathrm{A}} = k_{\parallel,0} v_{\mathrm{A}} = \mathrm{const.} \end{aligned}$$

weak Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation of the spectrum

$$rac{\delta z^2}{ au_{
m casc}} \sim arepsilon = {
m const.} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \delta z \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\delta z} \propto k_{\perp}$$

A very important consequece of these scalings is that an initially weak Alfvénic cascade will not remain weak!

- non-linear frequency increases with decreasing scales,
- while linear frequency is constant because there is no parallel cascade:

$$\frac{\lambda_{\perp}^{\rm CB}}{\ell_{\parallel,0}} \sim \left(\frac{\varepsilon\,\ell_{\parallel,0}}{v_{\rm A}^3}\right)^{1/2} \sim \left(\frac{\delta z_0}{v_{\rm A}}\right)^{3/2} \approx \chi_0^{3/2} \tag{4}$$

transition to critical balance $(x \sim 1)$

☞ for furhter details, see, e.g.,

[Goldreich & Sridhar, ApJ 1995] [Oughton & Matthaeus, ApJ 2020] [Schekochihin, arXiv:2010.00699]

(same reason as before)

critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation

critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation

B

At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$

critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation

Rear At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

you can see the ``*critical-balance condition" as the result of causality*:

 $au_{\mathrm{nl},\lambda_{\perp}} \, \sim \, rac{\lambda_{\perp}}{\delta z_{\lambda_{\perp}}}$

B

 λ_{\perp}

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$



critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation

At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$

you can see the ``critical-balance condition" as the result of causality:

the information about Alfvénic fluctuations decorrelating in the perpendicular plane over an eddy turn-over time τ_{nl} can only propagate along the field for a length $\ell_{||}$ at maximum speed v_A.

"So... CB is essentially AWs trying to keep up with the turbulent eddies..."



critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation

At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$

you can see the ``critical-balance condition" as the result of causality:

the information about Alfvénic fluctuations decorrelating in the perpendicular plane over an eddy turn-over time τ_{nl} can only propagate along the field for a length $\ell_{||}$ at maximum speed v_A.

"So... CB is essentially AWs trying to keep up with the turbulent eddies..."

Therefore, once $\tau_{nl} \sim \tau_A$ is reached, the balance is mantained. (In principle, this could be done by continuing the cascade with τ_{nl} = const., or by generating smaller $\ell_{||}$ such that $\tau_A \sim \ell_{||}/v_A \sim \tau_{n|}$ keeps holding... it is the latter)



critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation



Rear At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$

critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation



Rear At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$

 $rest fluctuations' scaling + spectum from <math>\epsilon = \text{const.}$ (you know the drill):

st.
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \delta z_{k_{\perp}} \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/3} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\delta z}(k_{\perp}) \propto k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$$





critically balanced (strong) Alfvénic turbulence: a quick phenomenological derivation

At this point, linear, non-linear, and cascade timescales match each other:

 $\tau_{\rm nl} \sim \tau_{\rm A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \tau_{\rm casc} \sim \tau_{\rm nl}$

 $rest fluctuations' scaling + spectrum from <math>\varepsilon = \text{const.}$ (you know the drill):

t.
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \delta z_{k_{\perp}} \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/3} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathcal{E}_{\delta z}(k_{\perp}) \propto k_{\perp}^{-5/3}$$

region now, you can also compute the fluctuations' wavenumber anisotropy:

$$|v_{\rm A} \rangle \Rightarrow k_{\parallel} \propto k_{\perp}^{2/3} \left(\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\delta z}(k_{\parallel}) \propto k_{\parallel}^{-2} \right)$$





Energy flux in k space





Energy flux in k space





Energy flux in k space

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •



 $k_\perp \sim \lambda^{-1}$



Further Developments

Developments in Theoretical Models



dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy

so for furhter details, see, e.g.,

[Boldyrev, PRL 2006] [Schekochihin, arXiv:2010.00699]



- dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy
 - \mathbb{C} Observations and simulations show that δv_{λ} and δb_{λ} have a spontaneous tendency to
 - align in the plane perpendicular to the local mean field $\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle_{\lambda}$, within an angle θ_{λ}
 - (e.g., Podesta et al., JGR 2009; Hnat et al., PRE 2011; Mason et al., ApJ 2011; Wicks et al., PRL 2013; Mallet et al., MNRAS 2016; ...)





 \square Observations and simulations show that δv_{λ} and δb_{λ} have a spontaneous tendency to align in the plane perpendicular to the local mean field $\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle_{\lambda}$, within an angle θ_{λ} (e.g., Podesta et al., JGR 2009; Hnat et al., PRE 2011; Mason et al., ApJ 2011; Wicks et al., PRL 2013; Mallet et al., MNRAS 2016; ...)

. the alignment between δv_{λ} and δb_{λ} is not the same as the alignment between δz_{λ}^{+} and δz_{λ}^{-} !

(but they are related: see Schekochihin arXiv:2010.00699)

dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy







 \mathbb{C} Observations and simulations show that δv_{λ} and δb_{λ} have a spontaneous tendency to align in the plane perpendicular to the local mean field $\langle \mathbf{B} \rangle_{\lambda}$, within an angle θ_{λ} (e.g., Podesta et al., JGR 2009; Hnat et al., PRE 2011; Mason et al., ApJ 2011; Wicks et al., PRL 2013; Mallet et al., MNRAS 2016; ...)

 \perp the alignment between δv_{λ} and δb_{λ} is *not the same* as the alignment between δz_{λ}^{+} and δz_{λ}^{-} !

(but they are related: see Schekochihin arXiv:2010.00699)

alignment ⇒ *depletion of non-linearitie*

dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy



es:
$$\delta \mathbf{z}^{\mp} \cdot \nabla \delta \mathbf{z}^{\pm} \sim \sin \varphi_{\lambda} \frac{\delta z_{\lambda}^{2}}{\lambda} \approx \varphi_{\lambda} \frac{\delta z_{\lambda}^{2}}{\lambda} \longleftrightarrow \theta_{\lambda} \frac{\delta v_{\lambda}^{2}}{\lambda}$$

A but remember that fluctuations cannot be perfectly aligned ($\theta_{\lambda} = 0$) in order to have a non-linear cascade





The effect of alignment is not only to make the non-linear interactions weaker, but also to induce anisotropy in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field **B**



dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy

The effect of alignment is not only to make the non-linear interactions weaker, but also to induce anisotropy in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field **B**



dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy

At this point one has *three-dimensional anisotropy of the fluctuations*!

The effect of alignment is not only to make the non-linear interactions weaker, but also to induce anisotropy in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field **B**



dynamic alignment in Alfvénic turbulence: three-dimensional anisotropy

At this point one has *three-dimensional anisotropy of the fluctuations*!

long story short:

(see Boldyrev, PRL 2006 for the derivation)

$$heta_{k_{\perp}} \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta v_{k_{\perp}} \propto k_{\perp}^{-1/4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E}(k_{\perp}) \propto k_{\perp}$$

$$\left({
m also, \ now \ } k_{\parallel} \propto k_{\perp}^{1/2}
ight)$$



reconnection-mediated regime in Alfvénic turbulence

so for furhter details, see, e.g.,

[Boldyrev & Loureiro, ApJ 2017] [Mallet, Schekochihin, Chandran, MNRAS 2017] [Schekochihin, arXiv:2010.00699]

reconnection-mediated regime in Alfvénic turbulence

So, we had *three-dimensional anisotropy, right?* ... wait a minute! doesn't 3D anisotropy of the turbulent eddies look line a **current sheet in the plane perpendicular to B**?! (YES, IT DOES!)





reconnection-mediated regime in Alfvénic turbulence

So, we had *three-dimensional anisotropy*, *right*? ... wait a minute! doesn't 3D anisotropy of the turbulent eddies look line a current sheet in the plane perpendicular to B?! (YES, IT DOES!)



tearing instability (i.e., reconnection) to grow, then we can imagine that this process will be responsible for the production of small-scale magnetic fluctations





reconnection-mediated regime in Alfvénic turbulence

So, we had *three-dimensional anisotropy, right?* ... wait a minute! doesn't 3D anisotropy of the turbulent eddies look line a **current sheet in the plane perpendicular to B**?! (YES, IT DOES!)



eddy lifetime:

$$au_{\mathrm{nl},k_{\perp}} \sim (heta_{k_{\perp}}k_{\perp}\delta v_{k_{\perp}})^{-1} \propto k_{\perp}^{-1}$$

tearing growth rate:

$$\gamma_{k_{\perp}}^{
m rec} \sim k_{\perp} \delta v_{k_{\perp}} \left(\frac{\delta v_{k_{\perp}}}{k_{\perp} \eta} \right)^{-1/2} \propto S_0^{-1}$$

$$\left(\eta : \text{ resistivity}, S \doteq \frac{v_{A} \ell_{0}}{\eta} : \text{ Lundquist} \right)$$





reconnection-mediated regime in Alfvénic turbulence

So, we had *three-dimensional anisotropy, right?* ... wait a minute! doesn't 3D anisotropy of the turbulent eddies look line a **current sheet in the plane perpendicular to B**?! (YES, IT DOES!)



reconnection-mediated regime in Alfvénic turbulence

So, we had *three-dimensional anisotropy*, *right*? ... wait a minute! doesn't 3D anisotropy of the turbulent eddies look line a current sheet in the plane perpendicular to B?! (YES, IT DOES!)

-11/5







 $au_{
m nl} \longrightarrow au_{
m rec} \sim 1/\gamma^{
m rec}$



spectrum of reconnection-mediated turbulence











Is this the end of the story?!

Of course not! ... we have totally neglected KINETIC EFFECTS...

reconnection-mediated turbulence with kinetic effects (theory & simulations):

[Loureiro & Boldyrev, ApJ 2017] [Mallet, Schekochihin, Chandran, JPP 2017] (*)[Cerri & Califano, NJP 2017] [Franci, Cerri, et al., ApJL 2017]

> (*) Actually this was the first suggestion of the existence of a reconnection-mediated regime: from a kinetic simulation, before any theory existed!



Recent Developments

Progress via Numerical Simulations









so far, the only evidence of reconnection-mediated turbulence in MHD

• only in **2D geometry**

• requires *extremely large Lundquist numbers* (grid: 64000² !!!)



Can we do better, and can it be done in 3D?

Can we do better, and can it be done in 3D?

just go back to a basic 3D setup: start from the *building blocks of the Alfvénic cascade*!

YES!

Can we do better, and can it be done in 3D?

just go back to a basic 3D setup: start from the *building blocks of the Alfvénic cascade*!



Simulations performed with the *Hamiltonian 2-fields gyro-fluid* model/code by Passot, Tassi, Sulem, and Laveder

 $rac{1}{2}$ model retains only Alfvén & kinetic-Alfvén modes, assumes strong anisotropy ($k_{\parallel} << k_{\perp}$), ...

[Cerri, Passot, Laveder, Sulem, Kunz, ApJL (to be submitted)]

YES!



Can we do better, and can it be done in 3D?

just go back to a basic 3D setup: start from the *building blocks of the Alfvénic cascade*!

But we do it "WISELY", i.e., with a "trick":



[Cerri, Passot, Laveder, Sulem, Kunz, ApJL (to be submitted)]

YES!

 $\gamma^{\rm rec} \, \tau_{\rm nl} \, \sim 1$



Can we do better, and can it be done in 3D?

But we do it "WISELY", i.e., with a "trick":



[Cerri, Passot, Laveder, Sulem, Kunz, ApJL (to be submitted)]

YES!

just go back to a basic 3D setup: start from the *building blocks of the Alfvénic cascade*!

$$^{\rm c} au_{\rm nl} \sim 1$$

Usually, one tries to increase γ^{rec} by achieving large S: requires extreme resolution!



Can we do better, and can it be done in 3D?

But we do it "WISELY", i.e., with a "trick":

Let's increase the non-linear time instead! (by considering a smaller non-linear parameter, $\chi < 1$) --

[Cerri, Passot, Laveder, Sulem, Kunz, ApJL (to be submitted)]

YES!

just go back to a basic 3D setup: start from the *building blocks of the Alfvénic cascade*!






$<\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp}>_{z}$ / \mathbf{B}_{0} ($\chi_{0} \sim 0.1$)



[Cerri, Passot, Laveder, Sulem, Kunz, ApJL (to be submitted)]

 $<\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp}>_{z}$ / \mathbf{B}_{0} ($\chi_{0} \sim 0.5$)



2	•	7	е	 0	1
1	•	9	е	 0	1

1e-01

 $\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp}|_{z=L/2} / \mathbf{B}_0 \ (\chi_0 \sim 0.1)$



$$\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp} \mid_{z=L/2} / \mathbf{B}_0 \ (\chi_0 \sim 0.5)$$



2.	46	9 —	0	5

1	.2e	 05



 $\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp}/2$



$$\mathbf{B}_0 \ (\chi_0 \sim 1)$$



 $\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp} / \mathbf{B}$



[Cerri, Passot, Laveder, Sulem, Kunz, ApJL (to be submitted)]

$$B_0 (\chi_0 \sim 0.5)$$





4.8e-04



 $\delta \mathbf{b}_{\perp} / \mathbf{B}$



$$B_0 (\chi_0 \sim 0.1)$$



٦	_		\cap	$\overline{2}$
1	\square	_	()	
)	\smile		\cup	\sim

10 - Z 10 S B B -4 $10^{-6} - \chi_0 \sim 0.1$ $\chi_0 \sim 0.5$ $\chi_{o} \sim$ reconnection-mediated 1 () -8 , regime obtained even before slope a large-scale turbulence state is generated (just from -2.5 0 C Q reconnection of current sheet generated by AWs shearing 0.01 each other)







The fate of weak MHD turbulence is to become strong... ...but which type of strong MHD turbulence?

A new turbulence regime exists, mediated by magnetic reconnection

number and/or on the strength of the nonlinearities

Some We have now provided a *proof via 3D simulations (from a first-principle setup)*

BUT: there are still a lot of open questions... we need smart(*er than me***) people!**

Thank you for your attention!

...emergence of reconnection-mediated turbulence depends both on the Lundquist

